ANNEX IV

Periodic disclosure for the financial products referred to in Article 8, paragraphs 1, 2 and 2a, of Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 and Article 6, first paragraph, of Regulation (EU) 2020/852

	Product name:	Comgest Growth Emerging Markets		al entity ntifier:	635400KFQMTBWMXHIZ73	
Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that		Environmental and/o	rsc	ocial char	acteristics	
contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that	Did this f	inancial product have a sustainable	e inv	estment obj	ective?	
the investment does not significantly harm any	•• DY	/es		⊠ No		
environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance practices.		It made sustainable investments with an environmental objective:%		It promoted Environmental/Social (Encharacteristics and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proport of 41.66% of sustainable investments		
The EU Taxonomy is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic		in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy		activ	an environmental objective in economic ities that qualify as environmentally ainable under the EU Taxonomy	
activities. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable		in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy		activ envi	an environmental objective in economic vities that do not qualify as ronmentally sustainable under the EU onomy	
investments with an environmental objective				⊠ with	a social objective	
might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.		It made sustainable investments with a social objective:%			E/S characteristics, but did not sustainable investments	
		extent were the environmental a product met?	nd/oı	social cha	racteristics promoted by this	

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained.

The environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by the Fund were met by targeting and investing in companies with positive overall ESG quality.

To assist in selecting companies with positive overall ESG quality, the Investment Manager performed an ESG review of the market to identify and exclude companies with the poorest ESG credentials from the Fund's investable market. This resulted in a reduction of the investable market by at least 20%. The ESG review was applied to at least 90% of the Fund's investee companies. In addition, throughout the period, the Investment Manager also applied an exclusion policy to exclude investment in companies with negative social and environmental characteristics as set out in the Fund's pre-contractual disclosures.

In respect of sustainable investment held by the Fund, please find below the list of environmental objectives (set out in Article 9 of Regulation (EU) 202/852) and the list of social objectives to which the Fund's sustainable investments contributed:

1. Environmental objectives:

The Fund invested in sustainable investments that contributed to the environmental objective of climate change mitigation.

2. Social objectives:

The Fund invested in sustainable investments with social objectives that contributed to the below objectives:

- (i) the provision of decent working conditions (including for value chain workers), and
- (ii) the promotion of adequate living standards and wellbeing for end users.

How did the sustainability indicators perform?

As at end December 2024, the Fund had attained the environmental and social characteristics promoted, including:

- (i) at least 90% of the Fund's investee companies had an ESG score in the top 80% of companies rated by the Investment Manager;
- (ii) none of the Fund's investee companies were engaged in excluded activities; and
- (iii) 41.66% of assets qualified, in the opinion of the Investment Manager, as sustainable investments.

...and compared to previous periods?

Sustainability indicators	Data as at end of December 2024	Data as at end of December 2023	Data as at end of December 2022
Percentage of investee companies that had an ESG score in the top 80% of companies rated by the Investment Manager.	At least 90% of the Fund's investee companies had an ESG score in the top 80% of companies rated by the Investment Manager.	At least 90% of the Fund's investee companies had an ESG score in the top 80% of companies rated by the Investment Manager.	At least 90% of the Fund's investee companies had an ESG score in the top 80% of companies rated by the Investment Manager.
Percentage of investee companies that were engaged in excluded activities	None	None	None
Percentage of assets qualified, in the opinion of the Investment Manager, as sustainable investments.	41.66%	38.10%	33.98%

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

The Fund invested 41.66% of its assets in sustainable investments which contributed to the environmental objectives and social objectives listed above.

Description of how the sustainable investments contributed to the sustainable investment objective

The sustainable investments' contribution to the environmental and/or social objectives listed above was measured by the Investment Manager using proprietary analysis.¹

For the social objectives:

- **at least 25%** of the investee company's revenue is generated from business activities which contribute to one or more of the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs number 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11 and 12).

For the environmental objectives:

- at least 5% of the investee company's revenue is reported to be from Taxonomy-aligned activities ('Taxonomy-aligned Revenue') or is estimated, using the Taxonomy's substantial contribution criteria, to be from activities which substantially contribute to an environmental objective under the Taxonomy ('Substantial Contribution Revenue'); or

The 14 mandatory principal adverse impact indicators and relevant optional indicators have been reviewed by the Investment Manager as part of its ESG assessment for sustainable investments. The Investment Manager used external data where available and also relied on a qualitative assessment using information directly from the company or its own research where quantitative data was not available.

The assessment performed by the Investment Manager focused on those PAIs which are material, depending on the sector in which investee companies operate. For investee companies that operate in sectors that have a limited impact on one or several PAI indicators, a brief conclusion was provided, to explain that there is no significant harm against those indicators given the sector the companies operate in. For PAIs that were material to the sectors investee companies operate in, a detailed assessment was performed to determine if the companies do significant harm. In the absence of specific data on the relevant PAI, other factors were used to assess significant harm (e.g. in the absence of data on hazardous waste, the Investment Manager assessed if a company operates in a biodiversity sensitive area and if it is linked to a controversy).

- the percentage of Taxonomy-aligned CapEx divided by the percentage of Taxonomy-aligned Revenue, or Substantial Contribution CapEx divided by the percentage of Substantial Contribution Revenue, is greater than 1; or
 - the investee company has its near-term climate targets approved by the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi).
 - How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

An assessment was performed to ensure that investments identified as contributing to one or more of the above environmental and/or social objectives did not significantly harm any of those objectives. This was done by assessing and monitoring the 14 mandatory principal adverse impact indicators and relevant optional indicators referenced in Annex 1 of the SFDR Delegated Regulation (EU 2022/1288) and by seeking to ensure that such investments were aligned with the

Principal adverse impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and employee matters, respect for

¹ The methodology for qualifying investee companies as sustainable investments changed with effect from the Fund prospectus update on 4 June 2024. The percentage reported for 31 December 2024 reports against the updated methodology.

human rights, anti- corruption and anti- bribery matters.	OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.
	How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?
	The 14 mandatory principal adverse impact indicators and relevant optional indicators have been reviewed by the Investment Manager as part of its ESG assessment for sustainable investments. The Investment Manager used external data where available and also relied on a qualitative assessment using information directly from the company or its own research where quantitative data was not available.
	The assessment performed by the Investment Manager focused on those PAIs which are material, depending on the sector in which investee companies operate. For investee companies that operate in sectors that have a limited impact on one or several PAI indicators, a brief conclusion was provided, to explain that there is no significant harm against those indicators given the sector the companies operate in. For PAIs that were material to the sectors investee companies operate in, a detailed assessment was performed to determine if the companies do significant harm. In the absence of specific data on the relevant PAI, other factors were used to assess significant harm (e.g. in the absence of data on hazardous waste, the Investment Manager assessed if a company operates in a biodiversity sensitive area and if it is linked to a controversy).
	Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights? Details:
	The Investment Manager also assessed companies' alignment with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights ('Guidelines and Principles') by monitoring any reported violations of global norms (this assessment is covered by PAI 10) and assessing whether the investee companies have put in place processes and compliance mechanisms to help meet the Guidelines and Principles (this assessment is covered by PAI 11).
	The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.
	The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.
	Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.
	How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?
	The Fund considered principal adverse impacts ("PAI") on sustainability factors by assessing and monitoring the 14 mandatory principal adverse impact indicators (PAIs) referenced in Annex 1 of the delegated regulation (EU) 2022/1288. The Investment Manager used external data where available and relied on information directly from the company or its own research and knowledge of the relevant industry or sector to assess the 14 mandatory principal adverse impacts.
	The Investment Manager has reviewed and considered the 14 mandatory PAI indicators, identifying specific issues for several of them:
	- PAIs 1 to 6 "Greenhouse Gas Emissions": The main emitters in the portfolio are companies operating in high-emission sectors, where emissions are inherent to their activities. The

Investment Manager has maintained close dialogue with some of these companies for several years and has observed significant progress in their climate-related practices and communications. The Investment Manager will continue to monitor their progress.

- PAIs 7 "Biodiversity", 8 "Water", and 9 "Waste": The main challenge lies in the quality and coverage of data. The Investment Manager will continue to engage with its data provider as well as with portfolio companies to improve transparency and the quality of reporting. Regarding PAI 7, the Investment Manager has conducted engagement activities with the two main contributors, and for PAI 9, some top contributors have launched specific projects to address the issue of hazardous waste management.
- PAI 11 "Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor adherence to the UN Global Compact principles and the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises": Although most covered companies are not facing major controversies related to the UN Global Compact (UNGC) principles and OECD guidelines, companies in emerging markets generally lack policies, processes, or mechanisms to ensure effective monitoring. The Investment Manager will continue internal discussions on the approach to adopt and will engage in dialogue with portfolio companies to encourage them to implement appropriate monitoring mechanisms.
- PAI 12 "Unadjusted pay gap": Since this indicator is not a regulatory requirement in most emerging markets, few companies disclose this information. Moreover, those that do, typically report an unadjusted absolute gap, which limits the relevance of this indicator for assessing companies in these markets.
- PAI 13 "Board gender diversity": The analysis revealed that the Fund holds two portfolio companies whose boards of directors include no women. These companies will be the focus of engagement efforts in the coming years.

Following the review of the PAIs, the Investment Manager has identified several areas for improvement and engagement priorities on the following themes: board gender diversity for portfolio companies that have no women on their board, the gender pay gap, biodiversity, and climate for the largest emitters.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting the greatest proportion of investments of the financial product during the reference period which is:

Largest investments	Sector	% of assets	Country
Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Co., Ltd.	Information Technology	9.19	Taiwan
Tencent Holdings Ltd.	Communication Services	5.20	China
Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Information Technology	4.85	Korea
MercadoLibre, Inc.	Consumer Discretionary	4.58	Argentina
Fomento Economico Mexicano SAB de CV Sponsored ADR Class B	Consumer Staples	4.19	Mexico
Delta Electronics, Inc.	Information Technology	4.18	Taiwan
Wal-Mart de Mexico SAB de CV	Consumer Staples	3.69	Mexico
Maruti Suzuki India Limited	Consumer Discretionary	3.58	India
Weg S/A	Industrials	3.24	Brazil
Discovery Limited	Financials	2.85	South Africa
Midea Group Co. Ltd. Class A	Consumer Discretionary	2.85	China
BB Seguridade Participacoes SA	Financials	2.59	Brazil

The top investments represent the greatest proportion of investments over the course of the period covered, calculated at appropriate intervals to be representative of that period.



What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

The proportion of sustainable investment was 41.66% and included 12.02% of sustainable investments with a social objective and 29.64% of sustainable investment with an environmental objective. Please see below the breakdown:

Breakdown of the proportion of the sustainable investments per each of environmental objectives set out in Article 9 of Regulation (EU) 2020/852 to which those investments contributed			
Environmental objective % of assets			
Climate change mitigation	29.64%		


Breakdown of the proportion of the sustainable investments per each of social objectives to which those investments contributed				
Social objective	% of assets			
Provision of decent working conditions (including for value chain workers)	4.03%			
Promotion of adequate living standards and wellbeing for end users	7.99%			

Asset allocation describes the share of investments in specific assets.

What was the asset allocation?

As at end of December 2024, 92.61% of the assets of the financial product were used to meet the environmental and social characteristics promoted. This included 41.66% of sustainable investments. 7.39% of assets were not aligned with the environmental or social characteristics.

The Fund was primarily invested in direct holdings of listed equities. 100% of the investments in listed equities were aligned with the environmental and/or social characteristics.



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category **#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics** covers:

- The sub-category #1A Sustainable covers environmentally and socially sustainable investments.
- The sub-category **#1B Other E/S characteristics** covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

Sector breakdown

Sector	% of assets
Information Technology	27.61
Financials	16.59
Consumer Staples	13.60
Consumer Discretionary	13.51
Communication Services	9.21
Others – mutual funds	6.04
Industrials	4.78
Health Care	3.22
Utilities	1.65
Cash	1.35
Energy	1.27
Materials	1.18

Data as of end of December. Due to rounding difference, figures may not add up to 100%

Sub-industry breakdown

Sub-industry	% of
	assets
Semiconductors	11.20
Life & Health Insurance	7.68
Interactive Media & Services	6.66
Others – mutual funds	6.04
IT Consulting & Other Services	5.58
Electronic Components	4.04
Broadline Retail	4.03
Semiconductor Materials & Equipment	3.63
Electrical Components & Equipment	3.30
Technology Hardware Storage & Peripherals	3.15
Consumer Staples Merchandise Retail	3.11
Packaged Foods & Meats	3.09
Soft Drinks & Non-alcoholic Beverages	2.99
Household Appliances	2.93
Automobile Manufacturers	2.61
Interactive Home Entertainment	2.55
Diversified Banks	2.47
Distillers & Vintners	2.37
Financial Exchanges & Data	2.33
Apparel Accessories & Luxury Goods	2.25
Multi-line Insurance	2.14
Consumer Finance	1.99
Computer & Electronics Retail	1.69
Health Care Equipment	1.66
Electric Utilities	1.65
Pharmaceuticals	1.56
Passenger Ground Transportation	1.48
Cash	1.35
Oil & Gas Refining & Marketing	1.27
Construction Materials	1.18
Food Retail	1.17
Drug Retail	0.87

Data as of end of December. Due to rounding difference, figures may not add up to 100%

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental

objective.



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

The percentage of sustainable investments with an environmental objective of the Fund aligned with the EU Taxonomy is 0% of the net assets of the Fund.

Transitional activities are activities for which low-carbon alternatives		id the financial product invest in hat comply with the EU Taxonor			d/or nucle	ar energ	y related activ	⁄ities
are not yet available and		Yes						
among others have greenhouse gas emission		□ In fossil gas		n nuclear	energy			
levels corresponding to the best performance.		No						
Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of: - turnover reflects the "greenness" of investee companies today. - capital expenditure (CapEx) shows the green investments	EU Taxo alignmen to all the graph sh	hs below show in green the per nomy. As there is no approp it of sovereign bonds*, the first investments of the financial pro ows the Taxonomy alignment o other than sovereign bonds.	riate grap duct	methode oh shows tincluding	ology to the Taxoi sovereig	determii nomy ali n bonds	ne the taxon gnment in rela , while the sea	omy- ation cond
made by investee companies, relevant for a transition to a	1.1	Faxonomy-alignment of investments including sovereign bonds*		2. Ta	axonomy-ali excludings	_	investments oonds*	
green economy. - operational expenditure (OpEx)	Turnover	0.00%		Turnover	0.00%			
reflects the green operational activities of investee companies.	CapEx	0.00%		CapEx	0.00%		-	
	OpEx	0.00%		OpEx	0.00%			
		0% 25% 50% 75% 100% I Taxonomy-aligned: Fossil gas I Taxonomy-aligned: Nuclear I Taxonomy-aligned (no gas & nuclear) I Non-taxonomy aligned		•	Non-taxonor	igned: Nucl igned (no g ny aligned	lear as & nuclear)	
		presents 100% of total investments. purpose of these graphs, 'sovereig	n boı		et of all sov			
	V	Vhat was the share of investmen	its m	ade in tra	nsitional	and enal	bling activities	5 ?

compare with previous reference periods?

was 0% of the net assets of the Fund.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy

In 2024, 2023 and 2022 the percentage of investments of the Fund aligned with the EU Taxonomy

² Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do not significantly harm any EU Taxonomy objectives. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.

are sustainable investments with an	What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?
environmental objective that do not take into account the criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities under Regulation (EU) 2020/852	The share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy is 29.64%. The Investment Manager has assessed the taxonomy eligibility and potential taxonomy alignment of the sustainable investments with an environmental objective and believes these companies are demonstrating positive advancement toward Taxonomy alignment and contribute to the environmental objectives identified.
	What was the share of socially sustainable investments?
	The share of socially sustainable investments is 12.02%.
	What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?
	As at end of December 2024, the Fund held cash for the purpose of meeting short-term cash commitments. The Fund also invested in other funds for diversification purposes.
	Funds held in the portfolio are funds managed by the Investment Manager. They apply the Investment Manager's Responsible Investment Policy, including its exclusion policies.
	What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?
	Several actions were taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period.
	Engagement activities:
	Maintaining an active relationship with investee companies is a key element of the Investment Manager's investment process.
	In 2024, 52 engagement activities were carried out with 28 companies in the Fund to encourage best practices with regard to ESG topics, including working toward mitigating any adverse impacts identified. 19% of the engagement activities were related to Environmental topics, 4% to Social topics, 44% to Governance topics and 33% to combined ESG topics.
	Voting activities:
	The Investment Manager exercises its right to vote at shareholder meetings in accordance with corporate governance values and voting principles that have been determined by the Investment Manager with reference to regulations, industry standards and best practice. The Investment Manager's objective is to vote systematically at all shareholder meetings when it is technically possible to do so.

BREAKDOWN OF VOTES	%
For	84.1%
Against	14.2%
Abstentions or Withholdings	1.7%
Other*	0.0%
In Line with Management	87.9%
Against Management	12.1%

^{*}Voting in response to say-on-pay frequency vote options